

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 22-1605V

ROBIN BABB,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: February 26, 2025

Rachel Elizabeth Gusman, Graves, McLain, PLLC, Tulsa, OK, for Petitioner.

Ryan Daniel Pyles, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On October 27, 2022, Robin Babb filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration as a result of an influenza vaccine administered on November 12, 2020. Petition at 1. On June 20, 2024, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties’ stipulation. ECF No. 27.

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney’s fees and costs, requesting an award of \$12,033.57 (representing \$10,452.20 in fees plus \$1,581.37 in costs). Motion for

¹Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

²National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed September 6, 2024, ECF No. 32. Furthermore, Petitioner filed a signed statement representing that Petitioner incurred no personal out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 32-3.

Respondent reacted to the motion on July 8, 2024, noting that he is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Response to Motion at 2-4, ECF No. 36. Petitioner filed a reply requesting an award of fees and costs as indicated in the Motion. ECF No. 37.

On January 24, 2025, a scheduling order was issued instructing Petitioner to better substantiate her request for attorney's costs. ECF No. 34. Petitioner filed the requested documentation on February 10, 2025, submitting invoices and proof of costs for the reduced amount of costs for a total of \$1,178.46. ECF No. 35.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. In my experience, the request for fees appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates. Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. ECF No. 35-1. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I find the requested costs reasonable and hereby award them in full.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. **Petitioner is awarded attorneys' fees and costs in the total amount of \$11,630.66 (representing \$10,452.20 for attorneys' fees and \$1,178.46 for attorney's costs) to be paid through an ACH deposit to petitioner's counsel's IOLTA account for prompt disbursement.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
 Brian H. Corcoran
 Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.